CIWP Team & Schedules Resources 💋 <u>CIWP Team Guidance</u> The CIWP team includes staff reflecting the diversity of student demographics and school programs. The CIWP team has 8-12 members. Sound rationale is provided if team size is smaller or larger. The CIWP team includes leaders who are responsible for implementing Foundations, those with institutional memory and those The CIWP team includes parents, community members, and LSC members. All CIWP team members are meaningfully involved in the planning process for CIWP components and include other stakeholders, as appropriate for their role, with involvement along the CPS Spectrum of Inclusive Partnerships (from the CPS Equity Framework).

| Name | Role | Email | <u></u> |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Khalid Oluewu | Principal | koluewu@cps.edu | |
| Krissandra Taylor | AP | kdtaylor@cps.edu | |
| Nilufar Rezai | Connectedness & Wellbeing Lead | nrezai@cps.edu | |
| Violet Bollar | Curriculum & Instruction Lead | vdtorres@cps.edu | |
| Shirley McElroy | Parent | shirleymcelroy2014@gmail.com | |
| Tlffany Wiggins | Teacher Leader | tpwiggins@cps.edu | |
| Jennifer Baygood | Inclusive & Supportive Learning Lead | jsbaygood@cps.edu | |
| Katrice Stribling | Partnerships & Engagement Lead | kdbarber@cps.edu | |
| Claire Anora | Teacher Leader | canora@cps.edu | |
| | Select Role | | |
| | Select Role | | |
| | Select Role | | |

Initial Development Schedule

Outline your schedule for developing each component of the CIWP.

| CIWP Components | Planned Start Date 🝊 | Planned Completion Date 🝊 |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Team & Schedule | 7/17/23 | |
| Reflection: Curriculum & Instruction (Instructional Core) | 7/24/23 | 7/28/23 |
| Reflection: Inclusive & Supportive Learning (Instructional Core) | 7/24/23 | 7/28/23 |
| Reflection: Connectedness & Wellbeing | 7/24/23 | 7/28/23 |
| Reflection: Postsecondary Success | 7/24/23 | 7/28/23 |
| Reflection: Partnerships & Engagement | 7/24/23 | 7/28/23 |
| Priorities | 7/31/23 | 8/4/23 |
| Root Cause | 7/31/23 | 8/4/23 |
| Theory of Acton | 7/31/23 | 8/4/23 |
| Implementation Plans | | |
| Goals | | |
| Fund Compliance | | |
| Parent & Family Plan | | |
| Approval | | |

Indicators of Quality CIWP: CIWP Team

most impacted.

SY24 Progress Monitoring Schedule

Indicate the SY24 dates when your CIWP team will hold progress monitoring check-ins. As a reference, these dates will auto-populate in your implementation plans.

| CIWP Progre | ess Monitoring Meeting Dates | |
|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| Quarter 1 | 10/20/2023 | |
| Quarter 2 | 12/21/2023 | |
| Quarter 3 | 3/22/2024 | |
| Quarter 4 | 5/31/2024 | |

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Reflection on Foundations

Schools reflect by triangulating various data sources, inclusive of quantitative and qualitative data, and disaggregated by student groups.

Reflections can be supported by available and relevant evidence and accurately represent the school's implementation of practices.

Stakeholders are consulted for the Reflection of Foundations.

Schools consider the impact of current ongoing efforts in the Reflection on Foundation.

Resources 💋 Reflection on Foundations Protocol

Return to Τορ

Curriculum & Instruction

| Using th | ne associated references, is this practice consistently implemented? | References |
|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | All teachers, PK-12, have access to high quality curricular materials, including foundational skills materials, that are standards-aligned and culturally responsive. | CPS High Quality Curriculum Rubrics |
| Partially | Students experience grade-level, standards-aligned instruction. | Rigor Walk Rubric Teacher Team Learning Cycle Protocols Quality Indicators Of Specially Designed Instruction |
| Partially | Schools and classrooms are focused on the Inner Core (identity, community, and relationships) and leverage research-based, culturally responsive powerful practices to ensure the learning environment meets the conditions that are needed for students to learn. | Powerful Practices Rubric Learning Conditions |
| Partially | The ILT leads instructional improvement through distributed leadership. | Continuum of ILT Effectiveness Distributed Leodership |
| No | School teams implement balanced assessment systems that measure the depth and breadth of student learning in relation to grade-level standards, provide actionable evidence to inform decision-making, and monitor progress towards end of year goals. | Customized Balanced Assessment Plan ES Assessment Plan Development Guide HS Assessment Plan Development |
| Partially | Evidence-based assessment for learning practices are enacted daily in every classroom. | Assessment for Leorning Reference Document |

What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection?

If this Foundation is later chosen as a priority, these are problems the school may address in this CIWP.

Ensuring that scholars engage in grade level instruction on a daily basis (acceleration ≥ remediation). While we are making strides in growth, many scholars are not on grade level for reading and math. From student voice survey, we learned that scholars that teachers care about them want to them to succeed academic but the level of engagement needs to be heightened.

What are the takeaways after the review of metrics?

Takeaways: Data for Math IAR 11% met, no exceeded IAR Data ELA 19% met or exceeded. Schoolwide EOY Star 360 ELA 24% at or above grade level. 50% at or above grade level for Math showing a growth of ~ 20% gain. iReady Math EOY 28.33% from 1.67%, marking 27% growth. iReady reading EOY 14.75% from 0%, ~14% growth. (Reference data from Chapter One and

Metrics

IAR (Math)

IAR (English)

Rigor Walk Data (School Level Data)

PSAT (EBRW)

PSAT (Math)

STAR (Reading)

What is the feedback from your stakeholders?

Student perspective survey Cultivate data 5 Essentials

Scholars feel like math is challenging but they still enjoy it.

Parents are pleased that scholars are showing growth in reading and math. Parents value time at the school to see what their scholars are learning.

iReady (Reading)

STAR (Math)

iReady (Math)

Cultivate

<u>Grades</u> **ACCESS**

TS Gold

Interim Assessment <u>Data</u>

What, if any, related improvement efforts are in progress? What is the impact? Do any of your efforts address barriers/obstacles for our student groups furthest from opportunity?

Adopted high quality curriculum for Math instruction (i-Ready) that aligns with CCSS in Mathematics. Teachers received initial training and will be provided ongoing professional learning throughout the year. The teachers also partnered with a neighboring school for planning, support and implementation. Hired a certified teacher for middle school math teacher to provide rigorous instruction for scholars. Math interventionist worked with the 4/5th grade where we saw the highest scores. Allow time this year to leverage the work of the interventionist to increase capacity across grade levels. The increase in reading for iReady can be seen from partnering with PIE and Chapter One for high dosage intensive tutoring for scholars. Hiring a reading interventionist to assist with the needs of ELA instruction for arades 3-8.

<u>Return to</u>

Inclusive & Supportive Learning Environment

Using the associated references, is this practice consistently implemented?

References

MTSS Integrity

<u>Memo</u>

What are the takeaways after the review of metrics?

Metrics

MTSS Integrity School teams implement an equity-based MTSS framework that includes strong teaming, systems and structures, and implementation of the problem solving process to inform Yes student and family engagement consistent with the MTSS Continuum expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. Roots Survey

MTSS tier movement-- Reading Tier 1 41% to 48%, Tier 2 21% to 26%, Tier 3 36% to 25%. Math Tier 143% to 67%, Tier 2 18% to 21%, Tier 3 38% to 10%.

ILAC (Illinois Action for Children): Total 2022-2023 caseload 35 Students-19 Students Improved Attendance out of 35

24 out of 35 were on the caseload 2 years or more and 18 out of 24 improved. Of those who showed improvement, 4 moved from chronic absentee (more than 18 days) to chronic truant (more than 9 days) rates.

Results from the Counselor's SY23-24 survey to MS scholars

Unit/Lesson Inventory for Language Objectives (School Level Data)

MTSS Continuum

Roots Survey

ACCESS

| Jump to | Curriculum & Instruction Inclusive & Supportive | <u>Learning</u> | Connectedness & Wellbeing | <u>Postsecondary</u> | <u>Partnerships</u> | <u>& Engagement</u> |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Partially | School teams create, implement, and progress monitor academic intervention plans in the Branching Minds platform consistent with the expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. | | found that 35% of students re "studying or test taking" and ' hard for me." | | | MTSS Academic Tier Movement Annual Evaluation of Compliance (ODLSS) |
| Yes | Students receive instruction in their Least Restrictive Environment. Staff is continually improving access to support Diverse Learners in the least restrictive environment as indicated by their IEP. | <u>LRE Dashboard</u> <u>Page</u> | What is the feedback IAFC (Illinois Action for Childreyears for scholars to show imponde even then it's not always of JPA shared that when scholar use the coping skills they have | provement in attended past the chronic level rs are able to self regu | es at least 2 Ince rates s. CIS and ulate and | Quality Indicators of Specially Designed Curriculum EL Program Review Tool |
| Yes | Staff ensures students are receiving timely, high quality IEPs, which are developed by the team and implemented with fidelity. | IDEA Procedural Manual | more time in the classroom a improve academically. | nd thus are more likel | y to | |
| Partially | English Learners are placed with the appropriate and available EL endorsed teacher to maximize required Tier I instructional services. | EL Placement Recommendation Tool ES EL Placement Recommendation Tool HS | What, if any, related improve the impact? Do any of your ef student groups fu The implementation of Branc monitoring are continually pr interventionists (math and rec | forts address barriers/ arthest from opportun hing Minds (BrM) and ogressing. Under the | obstacles for our ity? If progress lead of our | |
| Partially | There are language objectives (that demonstrate HOW students will use language) across the content. | | towards finding the approprious that best fit our scholars' nee identifying the appropriate in the gap between deficiencies level attainment. | ate Tier 2 and Tier 3 p ds. The impact on cor nterventions will lead t | olatforms rectly to bridging | |
| | What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection is later chosen as a priority, these are problems the school makes of CIWP. | | | | | |
| interventions student's own | centered problems that have surfaced for the MTSS process that are used are the best-fit for the scholar. Another is buinership of the work and their classroom systems and structuadults to tell or remind them to stay on task. | ilding the | | | | |
| Return to Τορ | Con | nectedness | & Wellbeing | | | |
| Using tl | he associated references, is this practice consistently implemented? | References | What are the takeawa | ys after the review of | f metrics? | Metrics |
| | | BHT Key | Attendance data - work of the this year). Access to OST (duri | | | % of Students |

| Τορ | Con | necteuness | & Weilbeing | |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| Using tl | he associated references, is this practice consistently implemented? | References | What are the takeaways after the review of metrics? | Metrics |
| Yes | Universal teaming structures are in place to support student connectedness and wellbeing, including a Behavioral Health Team and Climate and Culture Team. | BHT Key Component Assessment SEL Teoming Structure | Attendance data - work of the attendance team (previous to this year), Access to OST (during the school year and the summer through our partnership with the YMCA- Horner). With the strong capacity and leadership of our restorative justice coordinator, we have strong restorative practices in place leading to no suspensions last school year. PBIS is implemented PK-8. 50 students were a part of our four student leadership groups 28 students were serviced by Juvenile Protective Agency 50 students were serviced by Community in Schools-Chicago School counselor co-lead 3 small groups servicing 21 students | % of Students receiving Tier 2/3 interventions meeting targets Reduction in OSS per 100 Reduction in repeated disruptive behaviors (4-6 SCC) |
| Yes | Student experience Tier 1 Healing Centered supports, including SEL curricula, Skyline integrated SEL instruction, and restorative practices. | | | Increase Average Daily Attendance Increased Attendance for Chronically Absent Students |
| Partially | All students have equitable access to student-centered enrichment and out-of-school-time programs that effectively complement and supplement student learning during the school day and are responsive to other student interests and needs. | | What is the feedback from your stakeholders? Navigating branching minds proved to be difficult for teachers to handle on their own. The interventionist provided training and ongoing support to teachers to mitigate concerns with learning the tool. Scholars valued being pulled out by the interventionist to support their needs in a small setting. | Reconnected by 20th Day, Reconnected after 8 out of 10 days absent Cultivate (Belonging & Identity) Staff trained on alternatives to exclusionary discipline (School Level Data) Enrichment Program Participation: Enrollment & Attendance |

盔

What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection? If this Foundation is later chosen as a priority, these are problems the school may address in this CIWP.

Assist with building student ownership regarding grades, postsecondary planning

(academic, career and personal interest around future goals)

Return to **Partnership & Engagement**

Using the associated references, is this practice consistently References implemented? Spectrum of <u>Inclusive</u> **Partnerships** The school proactively fosters relationships with families, school committees, and community members. Partially Family and community assets are leveraged and help students and families own and contribute to the school's goals. Reimagining With Community <u>Toolkit</u> Staff fosters two-way communication with families and Yes community members by regularly offering creative ways for stakeholders to participate. Student Voice Infrastructure School teams have a student voice infrastructure that <u>Rubric</u> builds youth-adult partnerships in decision making and centers student perspective and leadership at all levels **Partially** and efforts of continuous improvement (Learning Cycles & CIWP).

What are the takeaways after the review of metrics?

Metrics

Cultivate

ILAC: Total 2022-2023 caseload 35 Students-19 Students Improved Attendance out of 35

24 out of 35 were on the caseload 2 years or more and 18 out of 24 improved. Of those who showed improvement, 4 moved from chronic absentee (more than 18 days) to chronic truant (more than 9 days) rates.

60% of scholars enrolled in an OST program during the last school vear.

There were options for boys and girls sports.

5 Essentials Parent

5E: Involved Families

Participation Rate

5E: Supportive **Environment**

Level of parent/community group engagement (LSC, PAC, BAC, PTA, etc.) (School Level Data)

Level of parent engagement in the **ODLSS Family** Advisory Board (School Level Data)

Formal and informal family and community feedback received locally. (School Level Data)

What is the feedback from your stakeholders?

Scholars enjoyed the OST options but expressed interest in more extracurricular activities (i.e. clubs, sports, fine arts, etc.) Parents expressed interest in volunteering more at the school during or after school hours

The primary teachers valued the partnership of the Steans Family Foundation

What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection?

If this Foundation is later chosen as a priority, these are problems the school may address in this CIWP.

Helping the scholars learn the skills of time management and schedule to prioritize and follow through and balance all of academic and extracurriculars

What, if any, related improvement efforts are in progress? What is the impact? Do any of your efforts address barriers/obstacles for our student groups furthest from opportunity?

Restructing OST programming in a way that is more centered around the needs of all scholars, not just tier 2 and 3 Working with parents and/or incentizing participation Recruiting more middle school males to join academic offerings



Yes

Partially

No

Reflection on Foundation

Using the associated documents, is this practice consistently implemented?

All teachers, PK-12, have access to high quality curricular materials, including foundational skills materials, that are standards-aligned and

Partially Students experience grade-level, standards-aligned instruction.

> Schools and classrooms are focused on the Inner Core (identity, community, and relationships) and leverage research-based, culturally responsive powerful practices to ensure the learning environment meets the conditions that are needed for students to learn.

The ILT leads instructional improvement through distributed **Partially**

> School teams implement balanced assessment systems that measure the depth and breadth of student learning in relation to grade-level standards, provide actionable evidence to inform decision-making, and monitor progress towards end of year goals.

Evidence-based assessment for learning practices are enacted daily **Partially** in every classroom.

What are the takeaways after the review of metrics?

Takeaways: Data for Math IAR 11% met, no exceeded IAR Data ELA 19% met or exceeded. Schoolwide EOY Star 360 ELA 24% at or above grade level. 50% at or above grade level for Math showing a growth of ~ 20% gain. iReady Math EOY 28.33% from 1.67%, marking 27% growth. iReady reading EOY 14.75% from 0%, ~14% growth. (Reference data from Chapter One and

What is the feedback from your stakeholders?

Student perspective survey Cultivate data 5 Essentials

Scholars feel like math is challenging but they still enjoy it.

Parents are pleased that scholars are showing growth in reading and math. Parents value time at the school to see what their scholars are learning.

What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection?

Ensuring that scholars engage in grade level instruction on a daily basis (acceleration > remediation). While we are making strides in growth, many scholars are not on grade level for reading and math. From student voice survey, we learned that scholars that teachers care about them want to them to succeed academic but the level of engagement needs to be heightened.

What, if any, related improvement efforts are in progress? What is the impact? Do any of our efforts address barriers/obstacles for our student groups furthest from opportunity?

Adopted high quality curriculum for Math instruction (i-Ready) that aligns with CCSS in Mathematics. Teachers received initial training and will be provided ongoing professional learning throughout the year. The teachers also partnered with a neighboring school for planning, support and implementation. Hired a certified teacher for middle school math teacher to provide rigorous instruction for scholars. Math interventionist worked with the 4/5th grade where we saw the highest scores. Allow time this year to leverage the work of the interventionist to increase capacity across grade levels. The increase in reading for iReady can be seen from partnering with PIE and Chapter One for high dosage intensive tutoring for scholars. Hiring a reading interventionist to assist with the needs of ELA instruction for grades 3-8.

Determine Priorities Return to Top

What is the Student-Centered Problem that your school will address in this Priority?

Determine Priorities Protocol

Resources: 💋

Students...

are not receiving consistent Tier 1 high quality instruction



Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Determine Priorities

Schools determine a minimum of 2 Foundations to prioritize, with at least one being within the Instructional Core.

Priorities are informed by findings from previous and current analysis of data (qualitative and quantitative).

For each priority, schools specify a student-centered problem (within the school's control) that becomes evident through each associated Reflection on Foundation.

Priorities are determined by impact on students' daily experiences.

Root Cause Return to Top

Resources: 😭

Resources: 🜠

What is the Root Cause of the identified Student-Centered Problem?

As adults in the building, we...

-must use formative assessment data to guide instruction (using just in time supports and differentiation as needed)



Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Root Cause Analysis

Each root cause analysis engages students, teachers, and other stakeholders closest to each priority, if they are not already represented by members of the CIWP team. The root cause is based on evidence found when examining the student-centered problem

Root causes are specific statements about adult practice.

Root causes are within the school's control

5 Why's Root Cause Protocol

Theory of Action Return to Top

What is your Theory of Action?

-provide staff with pd on implementing sound research-based instructional acceleration strategies

-implement rigorous high-quality curriculum

If we...

-coach teachers to heighten engagement for students (especially for black boys)



Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Theory of Action

Theory of Action is grounded in research or evidence based practices.

Jump to... Priority TOA Goal Setting Progress Select the Priority Foundation to **Curriculum & Instruction** Reflection Root Cause Implementation Plan Monitoring pull over your Re--provide opportunities for student discussion modeled after the Kagan structures <u>Monitoring</u> pull over your Reflections here = Theory of Action is an impactful strategy that counters the associated root cause. -accurately use assessment to guide instruction Theories of action explicitly aim to improve the experiences of student groups, identified in the Goals section, in order to achieve the goals for selected metrics. then we see.... -increased student engagement Theory of Action is written as an "If we... (x, y, and/or z strategy), then we see... (desired staff/student practices), which results in... (goals)" -more student to student discourse -students engaging in core curriculum and intervention/enrichment All major resources necessary for implementation (people, time, money, materials) are considered to write a feasible Theory of Action. which leads to... -more scholars on grade level and on-track -growth for every scholar on Star 360 and iReady -increase on IAR scores -improved achievement overall for students **Implementation Plan** Return to Top Resources: 😥 Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Implementation Planning Implementation Plan Milestones, collectively, are comprehensive to implementing their respective Theories of Action and are written as SMART goals. The number of milestones and action steps per milestone should be impactful and feasible

Implementation Plan identifies team/person responsible for implementation management, monitoring frequency, scheduled progress checks with CIWP Team, and data

Implementation Plan development engages the stakeholders closest to the priority, even if they are not already represented by members of the CIWP team.

Action steps reflect a comprehensive set of specific actions which are relevant to the strategy for at least 1 year out.

Action steps have relevant owners identified and achievable timelines.

Team/Individual Responsible for Implementation Plan

Senior Leadership Team (SLT)

ILTs monitor the performance and learning of students through

learning cycles to inform further learning cycles.

Action steps are inclusive of stakeholder groups and priority student groups.

used to report progress of implementation.

Action Step 3

 Dates for Progress Monitoring Check Ins

 Q1
 10/20/2023
 Q3
 3/22/2024

 Q2
 12/21/2023
 Q4
 5/31/2024

SY24 Implementation Milestones & Action Steps By When 💋 Who 🔥 **Progress Monitoring** Every teacher will deliver grade-level, standards-aligned curriculum Implementation May 2024 Select Status in all content areas. Milestone 1 Action Step 1 ILT members, Gradeband Review of expectations of rigor walk components August 2023 leads ILT members, Gradeband Action Step 2 Internal landscape walk (environmental components of rigor walk) August 2023 leads All teachers (math content) sign up for and attend ongoing training Action Step 3 respective content area August 2023-ongoing In Progress for iReady curriculum implementation. teachers Action Step 4 New teachers idenitified and assigned mentor support for Mentor teachers. ILT September 2023 Select Status curriculum implementation, standard-task alignment. Action Step 5 ILT members and Admin conduct rigorwalks to observe, collect October 2023/ quarterly data, provide feedback during GBMs on implementaion of core ILT members, Admin Select Status curriculum monthly. Implementation Every teacher uses research backed assessment strategies to drive **Teachers** Select Status Milestone 2 Adopt and introduce Kagan strategy of Time Pair Share and seating Action Step 1 **ILT** members Week 0 Completed structures to promote student discourse. Analyze student work samples to ensure that it is grade level Action Step 2 September 2023 Select Status appropriate and meets the expectations for rigor (DOK levels, IAR, **ILT** members Use interim assessment data to drive instructional practices September 2023 content area teachers. ILT Select Status Action Step 3 Continuous cycles of progress monitoring to ensure the Action Step 4 content area teachers. ILT September 2023/ongoing Select Status effectiveness of assessment strategies Action Step 5 Implement culturally responsive powerful practices to ensure the September 2023/ongoing Select Status content area teachers, ILT learning environment meets the needs of the scholars 60% of the teachers engage scholars in strong Tier 1 instruction Implementation Teachers, Grade band leads May 2024 Select Status Milestone 3 with acceleration practices to impact the Instructional Core Action Step 1 content area teachers September 2023/ongoing In Progress Deliver grade level instructional standards and relevant content ancilliary teachers, ILT Action Step 2 Ensure All students are engaged in high quality curricular materials Week 0/ongoing content area teachers, Action Step 3 Teachers using just in time supports- use of acceleration over ancilliary teachers, ILT, tutors September 2023/ongoing Select Status remediation and partners Action Step 4 September Consistent use of meaningful formative assessment content area teachers, ILT Select Status 2023/quarterly **Action Step 5** content area teachers September 2023/ Advancing culturally responsive practices Select Status ancilliary teachers, ILT, tutors ongoing and partners Implementation Strengthening the ILT through distributed leadership: Moving from Milestone 4 Developing to Performing in the components of Pillar 1: **ILT** members September 2023/ongoing Select Status (Academically Focused ILTs) of the Distributed Instructional Leadership Foundations Pillars. ILTs examine multiple data sources to determine schoolwide Action Step 1 ILT members/Grade band September 2023-ongoing Select Status priorities and determine the learning cycles and its cadence. ILTs monitor the performance and learning of teachers through ILT members/Grade band Action Step 2 September 2023-ongoing Select Status learning cycles to inform further learning cycles. Leads

ILT members/Grade band

Leads

October 2023

Select Status

| Jump to Reflection | Priority TOA Goal Setting Progress Select the Priority Root Cause Implementation Plan Monitoring pull over your Ref | Foundation to lections here => | Curriculum & Instruction |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Action Step 4 | Teacher leaders lead GBTs through a structured inquiry process to deepen the Instructional Core by setting goals and evaluating change through a learning cycle. | ILT members/Grade band Leads | Select Status |
| Action Step 5 | Teachers get an opportunity to engage in safe practice and receive feedback from GL partners and ILT. | ILT members/Grade band | Select Status |

SY25-SY26 Implementation Milestones

SY25 Anticipated Milestones

75% of teachers effectively engaging scholars in student-to-student discourse (using Kagan structures, accountable talk, and turn and talk) 75% of the teachers engage scholars in strong Tier 1 instruction with acceleration practices to impact the Instructional Core 60% of teacher leaders are able to lead their respective teams through a learning cycle as outlined by the SY24 Inquiry-Driven Learning Cycles For



SY26 Anticipated Milestones

100% of teachers effectively engaging scholars in student-to-student discourse (using Kagan structures, accountable talk, and turn and talk) 100% of the teachers engage scholars in strong Tier 1 instruction with acceleration practices to impact the Instructional Core 100% of teacher leaders are able to lead their respective teams through a learning cycle as outlined by the SY24 Inquiry-Driven Learning Cycles For <u>Teams</u>



Return to Top

Goal Setting

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Goal Setting

Each priority has both Practice Goals & Performance Goals reflecting end-of-year outcomes (numerical targets are optional and based on on applicable baselines and trend data).

Practice Goals, and at least 1 Performance Goal per priority, can be frequently monitored (reported 3X/year or more).

Goals seek to address priorities and opportunity gaps by embracing the principles of <u>Targeted Universalism</u>. There is consensus across the team(s) responsible for meeting the goals that the goals are ambitious and attainable

based on anticipated strategies and unique school contexts.

Goals are reviewed and adjusted with most-current data sources, including MOY and EOY.

Schools designated as Comprehensive or Targeted Support by ISBE meet specified IL-EMPOWER goal requirements.

Resources: 💋

IL-EMPOWER Goal Requirements

For CIWP goals to fulfill IL-EMPOWER requirements, please

ensure the following:
-The CIWP includes a reading Performance goal
-The CIWP includes a math Performance goal
-The goals within the reading, math, and any other
IL-EMPOWER goals include numerical targets

-Schools designated as Targeted Support identify the student groups named in the designation within the goals above and any other IL-EMPOWER goals

Performance Goals

| | | | | | Numerical | Targets [Option | onal] ద |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| Specify the Goal 🛮 🙆 | Can this metric be frequently monitored? | Metric | Student Groups (Select 1-2) | Baseline 🙇 | SY24 | SY25 | SY26 |
| Increase the percentage of scholars who meet or exceed expectations on | Na | IAD (Mash) | Overall | 11.00% | 17% | 23% | 29% |
| the Illinois Assessment of Readiness IAR). | IAR (Math) | African American Male | 2.48% | 10% | 12% | 15% | |
| 85% of scholars meet/exceed their | Yes | STAD (Pandina) | Overall | 23.16% | 30% | 37% | 45% |
| growth projection goal in reading. | res | STAR (Reading) | Other [Middle School Scholars] | 17% | 23% | 27% | 30% |

Practice Goals

| Identify the Foundations Practice(s) most aligned to | Specify your practice goal and identify how you will measure progress towards this goal. 🙆 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| your practice goals. 🙇 | SY24 | SY25 | SY26 | | | |
| C&I:2 Students experience grade-level, standards-aligned instruction. | 100% of teacher are teaching grade level, standards aligned instruction and ensuring standard-task alignment as defined on the rigor walk tool. | 80% of teachers are equipped with the knowledge and instrutional materials to successfully employ just-in-time supports and/or differentiate instruction to meet the needs of scholars. | 90% of teachers are equipped with the knowledge and instrutional materials to successfully employ just-in-time supports and/or differentiate instruction to meet the needs of scholars. | | | |
| C&I:6 Evidence-based assessment for learning practices are enacted daily in every classroom. | Kagan Structures to promote student discourse and assess student understanding Using formative assessments daily to make real-time instructional decisions | Using meaningful assessment methods to drive instruction leading to Implementation of small groups | Continuous cycles of progress monitoring to ensure the effectiveness of assessment strategies | | | |
| C&I:4 The ILT leads instructional improvement through distributed leadership. | ILT member development to build capacity around leading groups and monitoring performance of teachers and students through structured learning cycles. | ILT to facilitate GBMs and guide teachers to monitor student performance through structured learning cycles. | ILT to monitor performance of teachers through engaging in structured learning cycles. | | | |

Return to Top **SY24 Progress Monitoring**

Resources: 💋

Progress
Monitoring
Select the Priority Foundation to pull over your Reflections here =>
above. CIWP Teams will use this section to progress monitor the goals on a quarterly basis. Jump to... <u>Priority</u> <u>TOA</u> <u>Goal Setting</u> Curriculum & Instruction Reflection Root Cause Implementation Plan

Performance Goals

| Specify the Metric | Metric | Student Groups (Select 1-2) | Baseline | SY24 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| who meet or exceed expectations on | | Overall | 11.00% | 17% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). | ne illinois Assessment of Redoiness | | 2.48% | 10% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| 85% of scholars meet/exceed their | CTAD (Dooding) | Overall | 23.16% | 30% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| growth projection goal in reading. | exceed their STAP (Peoding) | | 17% | 23% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |

| Identified Practices | SY24 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
|--|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| C&I:2 Students experience grade-level, standards-aligned instruction. | 100% of teacher are teaching grade level, standards aligned instruction and ensuring standard-task alignment as defined on the rigor walk tool. | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| C&I:6 Evidence-based assessment for learning practices are enacted daily in every classroom. | Kagan Structures to promote student discourse and assess student understanding Using formative assessments daily to make real-time instructional decisions | Select Stotus | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| C&I:4 The ILT leads instructional improvement through distributed leadership. | ILT member development to build capacity around leading groups and monitoring performance of teachers and students through structured learning cycles. | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |

Select the Priority Foundation to

pull over your Reflections here

Reflection on Foundation

Using the associated documents, is this practice consistently implemented?

| Yes | School teams implement an equity-based MTSS framework that includes strong teaming, systems and structures, and implementation of the proble solving process to inform student and family engagement consistent with the expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. |
|-----------|---|
| Partially | School teams create, implement, and progress monitor academic intervention plans in the Branching Minds platform consistent with the expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. |
| Yes | Students receive instruction in their Least Restrictive Environment. Staff is continually improving access to support Diverse Learners in the least restrictive environment as indicated by their IEP. |
| Yes | Staff ensures students are receiving timely, high quality IEPs, which are developed by the team and implemented with fidelity. |
| Partially | English Learners are placed with the appropriate and available EL endorsed teacher to maximize required Tier I instructional services. |
| Partially | There are language objectives (that demonstrate HOW students will use language) across the content. |

What are the takeaways after the review of metrics?

MTSS tier movement-- Reading Tier 1 41% to 48%, Tier 2 21% to 26%, Tier 3 36% to 25%. Math Tier 1 43% to 67%, Tier 2 18% to 21%, Tier 3 38% to 10%.

ILAC (Illinois Action for Children): Total 2022-2023 caseload 35 Students-19 Students Improved Attendance out of 35

24 out of 35 were on the caseload 2 years or more and 18 out of 24 improved. Of those who showed improvement, 4 moved from chronic absentee (more than 18 days) to chronic truant (more than 9 days) rates.

Results from the Counselor's SY23-24 survey to MS scholars found that 35% of students reported needing help with "studying or test taking" and "finishing tasks even if they are hard for me.'

What is the feedback from your stakeholders?

IAFC (Illinois Action for Children) shared that it takes at least 2 years for scholars to show improvement in attendance rates and even then it's not always past the chronic levels. CIS and JPA shared that when scholars are able to self regulate and use the coping skills they have learned in sessions, they spend more time in the classroom and thus are more likely to improve academically.

What student-centered problems have surfaced during this reflection?

The student-centered problems that have surfaced for the MTSS process is ensuring the interventions that are used are the best-fit for the scholar. Another is building the student's ownership of the work and their classroom systems and structures so that they don't rely on adults to tell or remind them to stay on task.

What, if any, related improvement efforts are in progress? What is the impact? Do any of our efforts address barriers/obstacles for our student groups furthest from opportunity?

The implementation of Branching Minds (BrM) and progress monitoring are continually progressing. Under the lead of our interventionists (math and reading), we are progressing towards finding the appropriate Tier 2 and Tier 3 platforms that best fit our scholars' needs. The impact on correctly identifying the appropriate interventions will lead to bridging the gap between deficiencies in reading and math and grade level attainment.

Determine Priorities Return to Top

What is the Student-Centered Problem that your school will address in this Priority?

Students...

If we....

-are not afforded best-fit interventions specific to their needs.

-are lacking the classroom systems and structures to strength their ownership of the work.student's ownership of the work and their classroom systems and structures so that they don't rely on adults to tell or remind them to stay on task.

Determine Priorities Protocol

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Determine Priorities

Schools determine a minimum of 2 Foundations to prioritize, with at least one being within the Instructional Core.

Priorities are informed by findings from previous and current analysis of data (qualitative and quantitative).

For each priority, schools specify a student-centered problem (within the school's control) that becomes evident through each associated Reflection on Foundation.

Priorities are determined by impact on students' daily experiences.

Return to Top **Root Cause**

What is the Root Cause of the identified Student-Centered Problem?

As adults in the building, we...

-are in need of a consistent process for reviewing student-level data of our implementation of academic and SEL curriculum

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Root Cause Analysis

Each root cause analysis engages students, teachers, and other stakeholders closest to each priority, if they are not already represented by members of the CIWP team The root cause is based on evidence found when examining the student-centered

Root causes are specific statements about adult practice.

Root causes are within the school's control

5 Why's Root Cause Protocol

Theory of Action Return to Top

What is your Theory of Action?

implement and progress monitor academic intervention plans in Branching Minds are consistent with expectations as stated in MTSS Integrity (spell this out) monitor learning cycles (data review and response) create high quality well documented support and progress monitor



Theory of Action is grounded in research or evidence based practices.



Resources: 💋

Resources: 😭



Jump to... <u>TOA</u> **Goal Setting Priority Progress** Root Cause Implementation Plan Reflection

Select the Priority Foundation to pull over your Reflections here =>

Inclusive & Supportive Learning Environment

Theory of Action is an impactful strategy that counters the associated root cause.

Theories of action explicitly aim to improve the experiences of student groups, identified

in the Goals section, in order to achieve the goals for selected metrics. Theory of Action is written as an "If we... (x, y, and/or z strategy), then we see... (desired

staff/student practices), which results in... (goals)" All major resources necessary for implementation (people, time, money, materials) are considered to write a feasible Theory of Action

Dates for Progress Monitoring Check Ins

Q1 10/20/2023

then we see....

teachers differentiating instruction implementing targeted instruction students engaged with just in time supports



which leads to...

higher number of scholars moving tiers in Branching Minds

Senior Leadership Team

increase in on-track rates

improvement in student agency and ownership of their academic and social emotional skills



Return to Top

Implementation Plan

Q3 3/22/2024

Resources: 💋

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Implementation Planning

Implementation Plan Milestones, collectively, are comprehensive to implementing their respective Theories of Action and are written as SMART goals. The number of milestones and action steps per milestone should be impactful and feasible.

Implementation Plan identifies team/person responsible for implementation management, monitoring frequency, scheduled progress checks with CIWP Team, and data used to report progress of implementation.

Implementation Plan development engages the stakeholders closest to the priority, even if they are not already represented by members of the CIWP team.

Action steps reflect a comprehensive set of specific actions which are relevant to the strategy for at least 1 year out.

Action steps are inclusive of stakeholder groups and priority student groups.

Team/Individual Responsible for Implementation Plan

Action steps have relevant owners identified and achievable timelines.

| | Senior Leadership leam | | Q1 10/20/2023 | Q3 3/22/2024 |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Q2 12/21/2023 | Q4 5/31/2024 |
| | SY24 Implementation Milestones & Action Steps | Who | By When 🝊 | Progress Monitoring |
| Implementation Milestone 1 | Meets Developed components for high quality, well-documented student support and support plan on MTSS continuum | Interventionists and Teachers | October 6, 2023 | In Progress |
| Action Step 1 | Interventionists for reading and math verify tiers in Branching Minds | Interventionists | October 2, 2023 | In Progress |
| Action Step 2 | Interventionists create MTSS plan for scholars who are not in the correct tier and change if applicable | Interventionists and Teachers | October 23, 2023 | In Progress |
| Action Step 3 | Interventionists create MTSS plan to support teachers and check-in if the correct reading and math interventions are being used in conjunction with the teacher plans | Interventionists and Teachers | November 6, 2023 | In Progress |
| Action Step 4 | All staff will examine MOY and create action plans for scholars who are not increasing towards their goal and/or changing tiers | Interventionists and Teachers | February 16, 2024 | Not Started |
| Action Step 5 | All staff will examine MOY and create action plans for scholars who are not increasing towards their goal and/or changing tiers | Interventionists and Teachers | February 16, 2024 | Not Started |
| Implementation Milestone 2 | MTSS team meets foundational components for supplemental intervention: progress montitoring on MTSS Contnuum. | Interventionists and Teachers | May 31, 2024 | In Progress |
| Action Step 1 | Identify the scholars who are Tier 2 and Tier 3 | Interventionists and Teachers | October 2, 2023 | In Progress |
| Action Step 2 | Teachers create differentiated BrM plans with Smart Goals and Interventions | | October 13, 2023 | Not Started |
| Action Step 3 | All staf verify the correct reading and math interventions for Tier 2 every scholars are being implemented every 5 weeks | Interventionists and Teachers | November 3, 2023 | Not Started |
| Action Step 4 | All staff verify the correct reading and math interventions for Tier 3 scholars are being implemented every 5 weeks | Interventionists and Teachers | November 3, 2023 | Not Started |
| Action Step 5 | Interventionists create a running log by content area and grade level to | Interventionists | June 6, 2024 | Not Started |
| | document the interventions that are working and not working for scholars | | | Select Status |
| | | | | |
| Implementation Milestone 3 | Student level data is used to monitor and adjust MTSS interventions both academically and socially-emotionally. | Interventionists/Counselors/ Teachers | May 2024 | Select Status |
| | | | | |
| Action Step 1 | Each grade band identifies a data specialist who's responsible for bringing student level data to team meetings | Grade band leads | September 2024 | Select Status |
| Action Step 2 | The data specialist consults with the ILT, C&C and BHT representatives for their grade band to ensure they have a comprehensive list of scholars for review | Grade band leads,ILT, C&C and BHT | September 2024 | Select Status |
| Action Step 3 | The grade band lead uses a data review protocol to go over the student level academic or behavioral data | Grade band leads,ILT, C&C and BHT | 10/1/2024 and ongoing | Select Status |
| Action Step 4 | The grade band team identifies the Tier 1, 2, and 3 interventions needed to help scholars make progress academically or behaviorally | Grade band leads,ILT, C&C and BHT | October 2024 and ongoing | Select Status |
| Action Step 5 | The ILT, C&C, and BHT representatives report back to their respective teams the results of the data review | Grade band leads,ILT, C&C and BHT | October 2024 and ongoing | Select Status |
| Implementation Milestone 4 | | | | Select Status |
| Action Step 1 | | | | Select Status |
| Action Step 2 | | | | Select Status |
| Action Step 3 | | | | Select Status |
| Action Step 4 | | | | Select Status |
| Action Step 5 | | | | Select Status |
| • | | | | |

Jump to... **TOA Priority Progress** Root Cause Implementation Plan Reflection

Select the Priority Foundation to pull over your Reflections here =>

Inclusive & Supportive Learning Environment

SY25 Anticipated Milestones

60% of teachers differentiating core instruction

-OPERATIONAL components of high quality, well-documented student support and support plans: 100% of interventions are within the CPS curated list, 100% of interventions are documented and monitored within the Branching Minds Platform, 60% of student support plans and supports implemented

-DEVELOPED components of supplemental intervention: progress monitoring: MTSS team and 60% of teachers regularly progress monitor and implement actions in BMs, using multiple data points"

SY26 Anticipated Milestones

Return to Top

100% of teachers effectively differentiating core instruction

-Maintain OPERATIONAL components of high quality, well-documented students support and support plans: 100% of student support plans and supports implemented with fidelity

-FULLY OPERATIONAL on supplemental intervention: 100% of teachers progress monitoring and adjusting interventions and supports based on data,

-FULLY OPERATIONAL on Interpret Data and Adjust Instruction from MTSS Continuum"



Goal Setting

Indicators of a Quality CIWP: Goal Setting

Identify the Foundations Practice(s) most aligned to

process to inform student and family

of the MTSS Integrity Memo.

engagement consistent with the expectations

Each priority has both Practice Goals & Performance Goals reflecting end-of-year outcomes (numerical targets are optional and based on on applicable baselines and trend data).

Practice Goals, and at least 1 Performance Goal per priority, can be frequently monitored (reported 3X/year or more).

Goals seek to address priorities and opportunity gaps by embracing the principles of <u>Targeted Universalism</u>. There is consensus across the team(s) responsible for meeting the goals that the goals are ambitious and attainable based on anticipated strategies and unique school contexts.

Goals are reviewed and adjusted with most-current data sources, including MOY and EOY.

Schools designated as Comprehensive or Targeted Support by ISBE meet specified IL-EMPOWER goal requirements.

Resources: 💋

IL-EMPOWER Goal Requirements

measured by the MTSS Continuum.

For CIWP goals to fulfill IL-EMPOWER requirements, please ensure the following:

-The CIWP includes a reading Performance goal -The CIWP includes a math Performance goal

-The goals within the reading, math, and any other IL-EMPOWER goals include numerical targets -Schools designated as Targeted Support identify the

student groups named in the designation within the goals above and any other IL-EMPOWER goals

Performance Goals

| | | | | | Numerical | Targets [Option | onal] 🝊 |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| Specify the Goal 🛮 🙆 | Can this metric be frequently monitored? | Metric | Student Groups (Select 1-2) | Baseline 🙇 | SY24 | SY25 | SY26 |
| Increase the percent of students | Voc | % of Students receiving Tier 2/3 interventions | Students in Tiers 2 and 3 | 72% | 78% | 83% | 85% |
| receiving Tier 2/3 interventions who are meeting targets to 80% | Yes | meeting targets | NA | | | | |
| Percentage of scholars being | Yes | 2. 9 On Trock | Overall | 51% | 60% | 65% | 70% |
| on-track | res | 3 - 8 On Track | NA | | | | |

Practice Goals

Specify your practice goal and identify how you will measure progress towards this goal. 💰

components as measured by the MTSS

| your practice goals. | SY24 | SY25 | SY26 |
|--|--|--|---|
| I&S:2 School teams create, implement, and progress monitor academic intervention plans in the Branching Minds platform consistent with the expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. | All teachers are creating and implementing the interventions in the Branching Minds platform | MTSS teams and some teachers are progress monitoring interventions using multiple types of data. | MTSS team and all teachers are interpreting data, progress monitoring, and adjusting instruction based on interventions and multiple types of data. |
| C&I:3 Schools and classrooms are focused on the Inner Core (identity, community, and relationships) and leverage research-based, culturally responsive powerful practices to ensure the learning environment meets the conditions that are needed for students to learn. | All teachers are implementing the SEL practices (Calm Classroom, Morning Meeting, Second Step). Teachers and scholars are participating in the Caring Theme of the Month, and National Cultural Recognition monthly and completing the service projects. Teachers/Staff implement PBIS with fidelity. At least 50% of the scholars | In addition to the SY24 goals, every scholar in need of Tier II support is identified and matched with the appropriate support (| Each scholar is paired with a trusted adult. |
| I&S:1 School teams implement an equity-based MTSS framework that includes strong teaming, systems and structures, and implementation of the problem solving | The MTSS Leadership Team rates as "Developed" or higher in the "High quality well-documented student support and support plans" and Foundational in the | The MTSS Leadership Team rates as "Developed" or higher in most components as measured by the MTSS. | The MTSS Leadership Team rates as "Operational" in most components as |

SY24 Progress Monitoring Return to Top

Resources: 💋

Below are the goals for this Theory of Action that were created above. CIWP Teams will use this section to progress monitor the goals on a quarterly basis.

"Supplemental intervention: progress

the MTSS Continuum.

monitoring" components as measured by

| Jump toPriorityTOAReflectionRoot CouseImplements | Goal Setting Progress Monitoring | Select the Priority Foundation to pull over your Reflections here => | | Inclusive | e & Suppo | rtive Lear | ning Env | ironment |
|---|--|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Specify the Metric | Metric | Student Groups (Select 1-2) | Baseline | SY24 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| Increase the percent of students receiving Tier 2/3 interventions who | % of Students receiving Tier 2/3 interventions meeting targets | Students in Tiers 2 and 3 | 72% | 78% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| are meeting targets to 80% | | NA | | | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| Percentage of scholars being | 3 - 8 On Track | Overall | 51% | 60% | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| on-track | | NA | | | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| | Practice Goals | | | Progress Monitoring | | | | |
| Identified Pract | ices | SY24 | | | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 |
| I&S:2 School teams create, implement, and p intervention plans in the Branching Minds plat expectations of the MTSS Integrity Memo. | | All teachers are creating and impleme Branching Minds platform | nting the interve | entions in the | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status |
| C&I:3 Schools and classrooms are focused or community, and relationships) and leverage responsive powerful practices to ensure the leconditions that are needed for students to lear | All teachers are implementing the SEL practices (Calm Classroom, Morning Meeting, Second Step). Teachers and scholars are participating in the Caring Theme of the Month, and National Cultural Recognition monthly and completing the service projects. Teachers/Staff implement PBIS with fidelity. At least 50% of the scholars | | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | | |
| I&S:1 School teams implement an equity-base includes strong teaming, systems and structure problem solving process to inform student and consistent with the expectations of the MTSS | The MTSS Leadership Team rates as "Developed" or higher in the "High quality well-documented student support and support plans" and Foundational in the "Supplemental intervention: progress monitoring" components as measured by the MTSS Continuum. | | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | Select Status | | |

| If Checked: | | Our school receives school improvement funding through Title I, Part A, 1003 (I | L-Empower) | | | |
|---|----------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Complete IL-Empower Section below | | This CIWP serves as your School Improvement Plan, which is required for schools in school improvement status (comprehensive or targeted) as identified by the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE). The following section, "IL-Empower," addresses grant requirements, assurances, and alignment across your CIWP, grant budget, and state designation. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| If Checked: | ~ | Our school DOES NOT receive school improvement funding through Title I, Part (Continue to Parent & Family Plan) | A, 1003 (IL-Empower). | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Select a Goal | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Select a Goal | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Select a Goal | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Parent and Family Plan

| If Checked: | ~ | Our school is a Title I school operating a Schoolwide Program |
|---|----------|--|
| Complete School & Family Engagement Policy, School & Family Compact, and Parent & Family Engagement Budget sections | | This CIWP serves as your comprehensive Title I plan, which is a federal requirement for every Title I school operating a schoolwide program. As outlined in the federal legislation, this plan must be reviewed on at least an annual basis, and it must be made available to the district, parents, and the public. The following section, 'Title I Schoolwide Programs and Parent Involvement,' addresses the federal Title I requirements around meaningful parent and family involvement in developing and implementing Title I schoolwide programs. |
| If Checked: | | Our school is a non-Title I school that does not receive any Title I funds. (Continue to Approval) |

SCHOOL & FAMILY ENGAGEMENT POLICY

ESSA, Title I, Part A law requires schools to develop a parent and family policy that reflects their commitment to develop best engagement practices and maximizes meaningful consultation. Checking the boxes below indicates that your school understands and complies with each requirement listed.

- The school will hold an annual meeting at a time convenient to parents and families during the first month of school to inform them of the school's participation in ESSA, Title I programs and to explain the Title I requirements and their right to be involved in the Title I programs. The school will also hold an annual Title I PAC Organizational meeting at which 4 PAC officers are elected and monthly meeting dates are identified. The school will also offer parental and family engagement meetings, including monthly school PAC meetings, at different times and will invite all parents and key family members of children participating in the ESSA, Title I program to these meetings, and encourage them to attend.
- At the request of parents, schools will provide opportunities for regular meetings, including the School Parent Advisory Council meetings, for parents and family members to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions about the education of their children.
- Schools will provide parents a report of their child's performance on the State assessment in at least math, language arts and reading.
- Schools will provide parents timely notice when their child has been assigned to, or taught by, a teacher who is not "highly qualified," as defined in the Title I Final Regulations, for at least four (4) consecutive weeks.
- Schools will assist parents of participating ESSA Title I children in understanding: the state's academic content standards; the state's student academic achievement standards; the state and local academic assessments, including alternate assessments; the requirements of Title I, Part A; how to monitor their child's progress; and how to work with educators.
- Schools will provide information, resources, materials and training, including literacy training and technology, as appropriate, to assist parents and family members in working with their children to improve their academic achievement, and to encourage increased parental involvement.
- Schools will educate all staff in the value and utility of contributions by parents and family and in how to reach out to, communicate, and work with parents and family as equal partners in the education of their children and in how to implement and coordinate parent and family programs and build ties with parents and family members.
- Schools will, to the extent feasible and appropriate, coordinate and integrate parent involvement programs and activities with other federal, state, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conduct other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children.
- Schools will ensure that information related to the school and parent and family programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to parents in understandable and uniform formats, including language.

SCHOOL & FAMILY COMPACT

Your school shall jointly develop, with parents, a school-parent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement. Checking off the statements below indicates your school will develop a compact that complies with each requirement. Compact statements will be housed at the school and shared with all parents.

- The school will provide high-quality curriculum and instruction in a supportive and effective learning environment that enables the participating student to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.
- The school will hold parent-teacher conferences.
- The school will provide parents with frequent reports on their children's progress.
- The school will provide parents reasonable access to staff.
- The school will provide parents, as appropriate, opportunities to engage in and volunteer with school activities.
- The parents will support their children's learning.
- The students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement by engaging in behaviors such as good attendance, positive attitude, and class preparation, among others.

PARENT & FAMILY ENGAGEMENT BUDGET

The overarching goal for Title I Parent & Family Engagement funds is to increase student academic achievement through parental and family engagement and supporting skills development. In the box below, identify the academic priority areas around which your parent engagement & skills development will be aligned. As a reminder, use of your funds must occur in consultation with parents.

Funds will be used for the following goals: support our committment of having proficient readers and writers by the end of 2nd grade. We will increase our parents awareness of what it means to be on grade level and how they can support. Secondly, we will target the parents of Tier 2 and Tier 3 scholars and increase the knowledge of their child's performance. We will provide them with our plan for intervention, communicate the support offered by the interventionists in an effort to increase ongoing parent collaboration and involvement (targeting parents of our male scholars)



In order to maintain compliance with the use of Title I Parent & Family Engagement funds, please review and check each box below to indicate that your school understands and complies with the requirements following. We will...

- Spend Parent & Family Engagement Funds in a timely manner (Average 10%/month)
- Collaborate with parents, prioritizing PAC officers, to decide on Title I expenditures
- Assure that funds impact the majority of parents or focus on parents with students most at academic risk
- Provide up to date monthly fund reports to PAC officers
- Maintain a binder with the original documents related to PAC meetings, presentations, fund expenditures and other evidence of collaboration
- Provide support to PAC officers including but not limited to consultation about fund usage, meeting set-up, information dissemination, and organizational support